



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,852	07/28/2006	Nicolas Sarrot	294254US0PCT	9763
22850 7590 09/09/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
			EXAMINER EOM, ROBERT J	
			ART UNIT 1797	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/09/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/587,852

**Applicant(s)**

SARRUT ET AL.

**Examiner**

ROBERT EOM

**Art Unit**

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT EOM.(3) TONY.SOOHQO.(2) VINCENT SHIER.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: g.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brennen et al. (US 2003/0224531 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The representative for the applicants presented arguments concerning the structural relationship between the thin layer and the support. Possible amendments to the claims were brought up, referring to subject matter disclosed in pgs 13-18 of the specification, amendments concerning the fluidic network (i.e. COMOSS), or silica beads were suggested.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tony G Soohoo/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/R. E./  
Examiner, Art Unit 1797